

A CERTIFIED TRUE COPY**MAY 18 2006**ATTEST *[Signature]*
FOR THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

RECEIVED

2006 JUN -2 A 10:12

DOCKET NO. 1699

M 05-1699 C123

JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

MAY -2 2006

FILED
CLERK'S OFFICE

BEFORE THE JUDICIAL PANEL ON MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

**IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION****(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)****CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-23)****FILED**

MAY 25 2006

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

On September 6, 2005, the Panel transferred 30 civil actions to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407. Since that time, 651 additional actions have been transferred to the Northern District of California. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

It appears that the actions on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact which are common to the actions previously transferred to the Northern District of California and assigned to Judge Breyer.

Pursuant to Rule 7.4 of the Rules of Procedure of the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, 199 F.R.D. 425, 435-36 (2001), these actions are transferred under 28 U.S.C. § 1407 to the Northern District of California for the reasons stated in the order of September 6, 2005, 391 F.Supp.2d 1377 (J.P.M.L. 2005), and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable Charles R. Breyer.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed fifteen (15) days from the entry thereof and if any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this fifteen (15) day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Inasmuch as no objection is
pending at this time, the
stay is lifted.**MAY 18 2006**CLERK'S OFFICE
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION*Michael J. Beck*Michael J. Beck
Clerk of the Panel*R.C. Smith*
5/26/06

SCHEDULE CTO-23 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS
DOCKET NO. 1699
IN RE BEXTRA AND CELEBREX MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND
PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

<u>DIST. DIV. C.A. #</u>	<u>CASE CAPTION</u>	
ALABAMA MIDDLE ALM 2 06-254	Sylvia Wingard, etc. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.	
ALABAMA NORTHERN ALN 1 06-703	Dr. Gene N. Gordon v. G.D. Searle, LLC, et al.	Opposed 5/18/06
CALIFORNIA EASTERN CAE 2 06-745	Bhupinder Dosanjh, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.	
FLORIDA MIDDLE FLM 3 05-1231	Marsha S. Taylor, etc. v. Pfizer Inc.	
ILLINOIS SOUTHERN ILS 3 06-307	James Reynolds v. Pfizer Inc., et al.	
LOUISIANA EASTERN LAE 2 06-1798 LAE 2 06-1832	Debra Randle, et al. v. Pfizer Inc. Pratap Puri v. Pfizer Inc.	
LOUISIANA WESTERN LAW 2 06-575 LAW 5 06-505 LAW 5 06-619 LAW 6 06-543	Angela Authement, et al. v. Pfizer Inc. Richard L. Thornsberry v. Pfizer Inc. Harold Johnston, Sr., et al. v. Pfizer Inc. James I. Fontenot, et al. v. Pfizer Inc.	
MICHIGAN EASTERN MIE 1 06-11714	Edward Earl Thomas v. Pfizer Inc., et al.	
MINNESOTA MN 0 06-1296 MN 0 06-1315 MN 0 06-1316 MN 0 06-1326 MN 0 06-1390	Gilbert Pyles v. Pfizer Inc. Juanita Bingamon v. Pfizer Inc. Clarence Hale v. Pfizer Inc. Richard Brown, et al. v. Pfizer Inc. Gloria J. McKissack, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.	
MISSOURI EASTERN MOE 4 06-515	D. Woodrow Van Dancer v. Monsanto Co., et al.	Opposed 5/12/06
MISSOURI WESTERN MOW 2 06-4068 MOW 4 06-277	Sharon Clark, etc. v. G.D. Searle & Co., et al. Joyce Peoples, etc. v. G.D. Searle & Co., et al.	
NEW YORK EASTERN NYE 1 06-1479 NYE 1 06-1480 NYE 1 06-1483 NYE 1 06-1485	Carolyn Brown v. Pfizer Inc. Bonnie Martindale v. Pfizer Inc. Wayne Gunnison v. Pfizer Inc. Dawn Adrian v. Pfizer Inc.	
NEW YORK SOUTHERN NYS 1 06-2616 NYS 1 06-2617	Jessie Folsom, etc. v. Pfizer Inc. Brian L. Jeralds v. Pfizer Inc.	

SCHEDULE CTO-23 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS MDL-1699

Page 2 of 2

DIST. DIV. C.A. #**CASE CAPTION****SOUTH CAROLINA**

SC 1 06-1148

SC 2 06-1151

Faye Cochran, etc. v. G.D. Searle, LLC, et al.

Herbert F. Gorham, etc. v. G.D. Searle, LLC, et al.

TENNESSEE WESTERN

TNW 2 06-2192

TNW 2 06-2193

Estate of Clarence King, et al. v. Pfizer Inc.

Estate of Virginia Grace Miller, et al. v. Pfizer Inc.

TEXAS EASTERN

TXE 1 06-198

TXE 9 06-68

J.D. Burnett, Sr., et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.

Vandell Langham v. Pfizer Inc., et al.

TEXAS SOUTHERN

TXS 4 06-853

TXS 6 06-35

Hollis M. Hall, et al. v. Pfizer Inc., et al.

Evelyn Douglas v. Pfizer Inc., et al.

TEXAS WESTERN

TXW 1 06-268

Amelia Orduno, et al. v. Pfizer Inc.

UTAH

UT 2 06-279

Patsey Sorensen v. Pfizer Inc., et al.